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- Refined Analysis of FTRL w/Log-Barrier on arbitrary loss vectors $\left\{\ell_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{A}\right\}_{t=1}^{T}$ : (no longer require $\ell_{t, i} \geq-\mathbf{1} / \eta!$ )

$$
\text { Actions }\left\{x_{t} \in \Delta^{[A]}\right\}_{t=1}^{T} \text { are defined as: } \quad x_{t}=\underset{x \in \Delta^{[A]}}{\arg \min }\left\{\eta\left\{x, \sum_{t^{\prime}<t} \ell_{t^{\prime}}\right\rangle+\Psi(x)\right\}, \quad \text { where } \Psi(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ln } \ln \frac{1}{x_{i}}
$$

Then the following holds for any comparator $y \in \Delta^{[A]}$ :

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\langle x_{t}-y, \ell_{t}\right\rangle \leq \frac{\Psi(\mathrm{y})-\Psi\left(x_{1}\right)}{\eta}+\eta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{A} x_{t, i} \ell_{t, i}^{2}
$$
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Then the following holds for any comparator $y \in \Delta^{[A]}$ :
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\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\langle x_{t}-y, \ell_{t}\right\rangle \leq \frac{\Psi(\mathrm{y})-\Psi\left(x_{1}\right)}{\eta}+\eta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{A} x_{t, i} \ell_{t, i}^{2}
$$

- Magnitude Reduced Estimator: For an arbitrary random variable $Z$ that can be prohibitively negative, define

$$
\hat{Z}=Z-(Z)_{-}+\mathbb{E}\left[(Z)_{-}\right], \quad \text { where }(Z)_{-}=\min (Z, 0) .
$$

Then our Magnitude Reduced Estimator $\hat{Z}$ enjoys the following properties:

- Preserve Expectation: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{Z}]=\mathbb{E}[Z]-\mathbb{E}\left[(Z)_{-}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[(Z)_{-}\right]=\mathbb{E}[Z]$.
- Similar Second Order Moment: $\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]+2(\mathbb{E}[(Z)-])^{2}=O\left(\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]\right)$.
- Bounded from Below: $\hat{Z} \geq \mathbb{E}\left[(Z)_{-}\right]$as $Z-(Z)_{-}=0$ when $Z<0$ and $Z-(Z)_{-}=Z \geq 0$ when $Z \geq 0$.
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Then the following holds for any comparator $y \in \Delta^{[A]}$ :
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\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\langle x_{t}-y, \ell_{t}\right\rangle \leq \frac{\Psi(\mathrm{y})-\Psi\left(x_{1}\right)}{\eta}+\eta \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{A} x_{t, i} \ell_{t, i}^{2}
$$

- Magnitude Reduced Estimator: For an arbitrary random variable $Z$ that can be prohibitively negative, define

$$
\hat{Z}=Z-(Z)_{-}+\mathbb{E}\left[(Z)_{-}\right], \quad \text { where }(Z)_{-}=\min (Z, 0) .
$$

Then our Magnitude Reduced Estimator $\hat{Z}$ enjoys the following properties:

- Preserve Expectation: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{Z}]=\mathbb{E}[Z]-\mathbb{E}\left[(Z)_{-}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[(Z)_{-}\right]=\mathbb{E}[Z]$.
- Similar Second Order Moment: $\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{Z}^{2}\right] \leq 2 \mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]+2(\mathbb{E}[(Z)-])^{2}=\mathcal{O}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]\right)$.
- Bounded from Below: $\hat{Z} \geq \mathbb{E}\left[(Z)_{-}\right]$as $Z-(Z)_{-}=0$ when $Z<0$ and $Z-(Z)_{-}=Z \geq 0$ when $Z \geq 0$.
- New Covariance Estimation Bound: For a $d$-dim'l distribution w/ covariance $\Sigma$, samples $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{W}$ ensures (w.p. $1-\delta$ ):

$$
\left(\hat{\Sigma}^{+}\right)^{1 / 2}(\gamma I+\Sigma)\left(\hat{\Sigma}^{+}\right)^{1 / 2} \in[(1-2 \sqrt{\gamma}) I,(1+2 \sqrt{\gamma}) I], \quad \text { where } \hat{\Sigma}^{+}=\left(\gamma I+\sum_{i=1}^{W} \phi_{i} \phi_{i}^{T}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text { given } W \geq\left(4 d \log \frac{d}{\delta}\right) \gamma^{-2} .
$$
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